

Manifestation of metric renormalization in quantum R^2 -gravity

M. Yu. Kalmykov ¹

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
141 980 Dubna (Moscow Region), Russian Federation*

Abstract

The renormalization group method in R^2 -gravity without matter fields is discussed. A criterion for the existence of the renormalization constant for the metric has been found, two-loop higher order poles have been calculated, a relation which allows us to find the one-loop renormalization constant of the Newtonian constant has been suggested.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 11.10.Hi

Keywords: Renormalization group; Quantum gravity.

¹E-mail: *kalmykov@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su*

In order to take quantum corrections into account correctly, we should deal with the gravity which is both renormalizable and unitary. The Einstein theory of gravity is non-renormalizable [1], whereas the theory with terms quadratic in the curvature tensor is renormalizable in all orders of the perturbation theory [2] but not unitary (ghosts and tachyons are present in its spectrum). So, this R^2 -gravity could not be accepted as a basic theory. Nevertheless, this theory can be considered as a model for studying quantum gravitational effects. In particular, the renormalization group method can be used in the framework of this theory. However, in the framework of the standard approach to the renormalization group method in R^2 -gravity [3, 4] the anomalous dimension γ_G of the Newtonian constant G is found to be gauge dependent [5] – which contradicts to the quantum field theory. The introduction of the effective Vilkovisky- DeWitt action also does not solve this problem because in this case γ_G becomes dependent on the configurational space metric. A new way based on the introduction of the non-zero renormalization constant for the metric has been recently proposed [6] to solve the problem of the gauge dependence of γ_G . Not only γ_G is gauge independent in the approach suggested, but also the renormalization constant for the Newtonian constant G equals zero in the space-time without a boundary in all orders of the perturbation theory both without and with massless fields interactions. In the current paper we discuss in detail the renormalization group formalism for R^2 -gravity without matter fields where the renormalization constants for the metric and the Newtonian constant are taken into account. Two-loop higher order poles have been calculated, a criterion for the existence of the renormalization constant for the metric has been proposed, a relation for the definition of the one-loop renormalization constant of the Newtonian constant G has been found.

We use the following notations:

$$R^\sigma_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\sigma_{\lambda\nu} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\sigma_{\lambda\mu} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\mu} \Gamma^\alpha_{\lambda\nu} - \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\nu} \Gamma^\alpha_{\lambda\mu}, \quad R_{\mu\nu} = R^\sigma_{\mu\sigma\nu},$$

$$R = R_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad c = \hbar = 1, \quad (g) = \det(g_{\mu\nu}), \quad \varepsilon = \frac{4-d}{2},$$

where $\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the Riemann connection, and $W^2 = R^2_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}R^2$. The space-time we work in is topologically trivial, without a boundary, the Euler number equals zero. Thus, we have the right to use the relation: $R^2_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} = 4R^2_{\mu\nu} - R^2$.

First of all, we should obtain the complete set of the renormalization group equations in R^2 -gravity. Two types of such equations are usually needed:

1. relations between counterterms and renormalization group functions;
2. relations between poles of different order.

Nonpolynomiality of the counterterms with respect to the Lagrangian's parameters does not permit us to use the standard renormalization group equations. Fortunately, the necessary equations can be easily obtained from basic principles of the renormalization group method in a renormalizable theory [7]. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a set of dimensionless

(in 4-dimensional space-time) coupling constants in a renormalizable theory. The dimension of the bare coupling λ_i^B in $\mathbf{d}$ -dimensional space-time is equal to $\rho_i \varepsilon$ (ρ_i is the number). The renormalized couplings $\{\lambda_j\}$ are known to be dimensionless. In the MS-scheme in the dimensional regularization the bare coupling constants are connected with the renormalized ones by the relation:

$$\lambda_j^B = (\mu^2)^{\rho_j \varepsilon} \left(\lambda_j + \sum_{n=1} \frac{\delta Z_j^{(n)}(\lambda_i)}{\varepsilon^n} \right), \quad (1)$$

where μ^2 is the renormalization mass parameter, and $\delta Z_i^{(n)}$ are the corresponding counterterms. Any change of the parameter μ^2 is compensated by the appropriate change of the couplings $\{\lambda_i\}$, so that the bare couplings $\{\lambda_i^B\}$ leave to be unchanged:

$$\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \lambda_j^B = 0.$$

After differentiating Eq.(1) with respect to μ^2 , and introducing the definition

$$\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} \lambda_j \Big|_{\lambda_j^B} = -\rho_j \varepsilon \lambda_j + \beta_j(\lambda_i),$$

we get

$$\beta_i = \left(\sum_j \rho_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} - \rho_i \right) \delta Z_i^{(1)}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left(\sum_j \rho_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} - \rho_i \right) \delta Z_i^{(n)} = \sum_j \beta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \delta Z_i^{(n-1)}. \quad (3)$$

Let us construct the renormalization group relations for the dimensional parameters (masses, fields). In this case the bare parameters $\{\kappa_j^B\}$ are related to the renormalized parameters $\{\kappa_j\}$ as:

$$\kappa_j^B = \kappa_j \left(1 + \sum_{n=1} \frac{\delta Z_j^{(n)}}{\varepsilon^n} \right).$$

The invariance of bare parameters with respect to the scale renormalization μ^2 is required: $(\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \kappa_j^B = 0)$, and provided with

$$\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} \kappa_j \Big|_{\kappa_j^B} = \gamma_j \kappa_j,$$

we get

$$\gamma_i = \sum_j \rho_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \delta Z_i^{(1)}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_j \rho_j \lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \delta Z_i^{(n+1)} = \left(\sum_j \beta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} + \gamma_i \right) \delta Z_i^{(n)}. \quad (5)$$

The Eqs. (2) - (5) are valid for any renormalizable theory. In particular, the renormalization group functions are defined there only by first order poles of the corresponding renormalization constants. The other feature is that the recurrent relations (3), (5) give the poles of high order so that only the independent function has the first order pole's coefficients.

Let us consider now R^2 -gravity with the Lagrangian

$$L_{GR} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} W^2 - \frac{\omega}{3\lambda} R^2 - \frac{R}{k^2} + \frac{2\sigma}{k^4} \right) \sqrt{-g}, \quad (6)$$

where $k^2 = 16\pi G$, λ, ω , and σ are the constants. The dimensions of couplings in R^2 -gravity are the following: $\rho_\lambda = \rho_\sigma = 1, \rho_\omega = 0$. The β -functions in R^2 -gravity are then defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\{\lambda, \sigma\}} &= \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} - 1 \right) \delta Z_{\{\lambda, \sigma\}}^{(1)}, \\ \beta_\omega &= \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_\omega^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the brackets $\{\lambda, \sigma\}$ mean that the corresponding equations should be applied to λ and σ separately. In order to obtain the anomalous dimension of the gravitational constant G and the metric field $g_{\mu\nu}$, we use Eq.(4):

$$\gamma_{\{G, g\}} = \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_{\{G, g\}}^{(1)}. \quad (8)$$

These equations (7), (8) have a nice feature: there is no derivative over ω (this parameter enters the one-loop counterterms as a nonpolynom) in definition of both the β -functions and the anomalous dimensions. Eqs.(3),(5) have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} - 1 \right) \delta Z_{\{\lambda, \sigma\}}^{(n)} &= \left(\beta_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \beta_\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} + \beta_\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_{\{\lambda, \sigma\}}^{(n-1)}, \\ \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_\omega^{(n)} &= \left(\beta_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \beta_\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \right) \delta Z_\omega^{(n-1)}, \\ \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_{\{G, g\}}^{(n)} &= \left(\beta_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \beta_\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} + \beta_\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \gamma_{\{G, g\}} \right) \delta Z_{\{G, g\}}^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Let us calculate the one-loop renormalization group functions in R^2 -gravity by means of Eqs.(7,8). For this purpose the first things what we should find are the

corresponding renormalization constants. Let the tensor density $g_{\mu\nu}^* = g_{\mu\nu}(-g)^r$ (where $r \neq -1/4$) play the role of a dynamical variable ². The one-loop counterterms in the background field method have the form:

$$\Gamma_{div}^{GR} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \left(\theta_2 W^2 + \frac{\theta_3}{3} R^2 + \theta_4 \frac{R}{k^2} + \frac{\theta_5}{k^4} \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x.$$

In the theory (6) the following renormalization constants may arise within the background field method: for the physical parameters $Z_\lambda, Z_\omega, Z_G, Z_\sigma$, for the background metric field Z_g , and for quantum and ghost fields Z_h and Z_{gh} , respectively. All the ultraviolet singularities of the theory can be absorbed into these constants. In the MS-scheme all Z_i constants contain poles only in ε . Following the arguments of [9] it can be shown that the renormalization of quantum and ghost fields in the background field method is not essential to the one-loop background Green functions' calculation. Supposing that after the multiplicative redefinition of the metric and parameters

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}^* &\rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{\delta Z_{g,s}^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} \right) g_{\mu\nu}^*, & G &\rightarrow G_B = \left(1 + \frac{\delta Z_G^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} \right) G, \\ \lambda &\rightarrow \left(\lambda + \frac{\delta Z_\lambda^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} \right), & \omega &\rightarrow \left(\omega + \frac{\delta Z_\omega^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} \right), & \sigma &\rightarrow \left(\sigma + \frac{\delta Z_\sigma^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the one-loop background Green functions obtained from the effective action $\Gamma = \Gamma_B^{GR} - \Gamma_{div}^{GR}$ should be finite at $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have the following system of equations for δZ_i :

$$\delta Z_\lambda^{(1,1)} = -\theta_2 \lambda^2, \quad (10)$$

$$\delta Z_\omega^{(1,1)} = -(\theta_3 + \theta_2 \omega) \lambda, \quad (11)$$

$$\delta Z_\sigma^{(1,1)} = \frac{\theta_5 + 4\theta_4 \sigma}{2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\delta Z_G^{(1,1)} - \frac{1}{s} \delta Z_{g,s}^{(1,1)} = \theta_4, \quad (13)$$

where $s \equiv 4r + 1 \neq 0$. At the one-loop level the coefficients θ_2, θ_3 , and the combination $\theta_5 + 4\sigma\theta_4$ are independent on the gauge and the parametrization off-shell [10]. By using the relations (10) - (12), we get that the one-loop renormalization constants and the corresponding β -functions are also gauge and parametrization independent.

The one-loop counterterms in Ref.[4] have been used to calculate the β -functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\lambda^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{133}{20} \lambda^2 = \delta Z_\lambda^{(1,1)}, \\ \beta_\omega^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{5}{3} \omega^2 + \frac{183}{20} \omega + \frac{5}{24} \right) \lambda = \delta Z_\omega^{(1,1)}, \\ \beta_\sigma^{(1)} &= \frac{\lambda}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{5}{4} \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{16\omega^2} + \frac{20}{3} \omega \sigma + 5\sigma - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\sigma}{\omega} \right) = \delta Z_\sigma^{(1,1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

²The example of how to calculate the one-loop counterterms in arbitrary parametrization in gravity is given in Ref. [8].

The one-loop β -functions (14) obtained by using (7) turn out to be equal to the standard values [3, 4]. The one-loop anomalous dimensions satisfy the following equations (in the trivial parametrization $s = 1$):

$$\gamma_G^{(1)} - \gamma_{g,1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{5}{3}\omega - \frac{13}{12} - \frac{1}{8\omega} \right) \lambda = \delta Z_G^{(1,1)} - \delta Z_{g,1}^{(1,1)}. \quad (15)$$

We should pay attention to the fact that the θ_4 is gauge and parametrization dependent coefficient [10]. G is a quantity which can be measured in experiments and enters the classical gravitational potential's definition [11]. The loop corrections to the gravitational potential are proportional to the γ_G -function. This results in the gauge and parametrization dependence of physical quantities. Therefore, the γ_G must be gauge and parametrization independent quantity. This can be reached only by introduction of the non-zero gauge and parametrization dependent function γ_g (see Eq. (15)), so that only unphysical parameter – the anomalous dimension of field – depends on the gauge and parametrization. Fortunately, this does not contradict to the basics of the quantum field theory. From Eq. (13) it is easy to have:

$$\frac{\delta Z_{g,s_1}^{(1,1)}}{s_1} - \frac{\delta Z_{g,s_2}^{(1,1)}}{s_2} = \theta_4^{(s_2)} - \theta_4^{(s_1)}, \quad (16)$$

where $\theta_4^{(s)}$ is the counterterm obtained in the parametrization s . If the renormalization constant for the metric equals zero, then $\theta_4^{(s)}$ does not depend on s , and (16) is identically equal to zero. So, the dependence of θ_4 on the parametrization (not on the gauge!) may be a criterion of the existence of the renormalization constant for the metric field.

By the same way the renormalization group equations can be found in the conformal parametrization where the fields $\psi_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(-g)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, and $\pi = (-g)^{\frac{m}{4}}$, $m \neq 0$, $\det \psi_{\mu\nu} = 1$ act as independent dynamical variables. In this case there are two different renormalization constants Z_ψ, Z_π (as well as the two anomalous dimensions γ_ψ and γ_π appear): for the field ψ (which concerns the traceless part of the metric tensor) and π (which is related to its trace), respectively. Eq.(8) should be replaced with:

$$\gamma_{\{\psi,\pi\}} = \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right) \delta Z_{\{\psi,\pi\}}^{(1)}.$$

Provided with the gauge and parametrization independence of $\delta Z_\lambda^{(1,1)}$ and θ_2 , we get $\delta Z_\psi^{(1,1)} = 0$. So, we see that at the one-loop level only the conformal mode of the metric must be renormalized. The old solutions (10)–(12) are obtained once more, in the solution (13) we should replace $s \rightarrow m, g \rightarrow \pi$.

The recurrent relations and the low order values of the renormalization functions allow calculations to be made of their higher order contribution and to calculate the coefficients at high order poles of the two-loop counterterms. Let the two-loop counterterms in the background-field method have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{div}^{(2)} = & \int \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\theta_2^{(2,2)} W^2 + \frac{\theta_3^{(2,2)}}{3} R^2 + \theta_4^{(2,2)} \frac{R}{k^2} + \frac{\theta_5^{(2,2)}}{k^4} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\theta_2^{(1,2)} W^2 + \frac{\theta_3^{(1,2)}}{3} R^2 + \theta_4^{(1,2)} \frac{R}{k^2} + \frac{\theta_5^{(1,2)}}{k^4} \right) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Eqs.(9) with (14) and (15), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_5^{(2,2)} &= \left(\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \right)^2 \left[\lambda^2 \left(-\frac{1}{32\omega^2} + \frac{31}{72} \frac{\sigma}{\omega} - \frac{763}{9} \sigma \omega - \frac{50}{9} \sigma \omega^2 - \frac{163}{24} \sigma \right) \right. \\ &+ \lambda^3 \left(-\frac{259}{24} + \frac{7}{192} \frac{\sigma}{\omega^2} + \frac{29}{48\omega^2} + \frac{5}{12} \frac{\sigma}{\omega} + \frac{5}{12\omega} + \frac{316}{9} \sigma \omega + \frac{100}{27} \sigma \omega^2 - \frac{4787}{540} \sigma \right) \Big] \\ &+ \frac{\sigma \lambda}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{5}{3} \omega - \frac{13}{12} - \frac{1}{8\omega} \right) \delta Z_G^{(1,1)}, \\ \theta_4^{(2,2)} &= - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \right)^2 \left[\frac{\lambda^3}{3} \left(-\frac{4787}{720} + \frac{25}{9} \omega^2 + \frac{79}{3} \omega + \frac{5}{16\omega} + \frac{5}{192\omega^2} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\frac{13}{12} - \frac{5}{3} \omega + \frac{1}{8\omega} \right) \Big] - \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{5}{3} \omega - \frac{13}{12} - \frac{1}{8\omega} \right) \delta Z_G^{(1,1)}, \\ \theta_3^{(2,2)} &= - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \right)^2 5\lambda \left(\frac{\omega}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{5}{3} \omega^2 + \frac{183}{20} \omega + \frac{5}{24} \right), \\ \theta_2^{(2,2)} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

The coefficients $\theta_2^{(2,2)}$ and $\theta_3^{(2,2)}$ are gauge and parametrization independent due to the gauge and parametrization independence of the corresponding one-loop renormalization constants $\{\delta Z_i\}$. It should be noted that the two-loop counterterms (17) contain the terms proportional to $1/\omega^3$. The relations (17) yield double profit:

- gauge and parametrization independent coefficients $\theta_2^{(2,2)}$ and $\theta_3^{(2,2)}$ predicted from these relations can be used for testing the formalism of the renormalization group method suggested here.
- coefficients $\theta_4^{(2,2)}$ and $\theta_5^{(2,2)}$ can be used for the definition of the $\delta Z_G^{(1,1)}$.

In this paper a thorough analysis of the renormalization group method in R^2 -gravity has been carried out. The Eqs. (7), (8) between the renormalization group functions and the renormalization constant, as well as the recurrence relation between the corresponding pole terms (9) have been obtained. It is noteworthy to emphasize that although the counterterms are not polynoms in the Lagrangian's parameters, all the qualitative properties of the renormalization group equations in this model are the same as in the standard quantum field theory. These equations allowed us to suggest a criterion for the existence of the renormalization constant for the metric

(Eq. (16)), to calculate two-loop higher order poles, as well as to show how the one-loop renormalization constant for the Newtonian constant should be found from the two-loop results (Eq.(17)).

Note added

When this paper has already been finished we became aware of the paper [12]. The results of calculation of the one-loop counterterm, in arbitrary gauge and parametrization, obtained in [12] directly confirm the presence of nonzero renormalization constant for the metric field (see Eq. 16 in the current paper).

References

- [1] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, *Annales Poincare Phys. Theor. A* **20** (1974) 69;
B. L. Voronov and I. V. Tyutin, *Yad. Fiz.* **33** (1981) 1710.
M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, *Nucl. Phys. B* **266** (1986) 709;
A. E. M. van de Ven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **378** (1992) 309.
- [2] K. S. Stelle, *Phys. Rev. D* **16** (1977) 953;
B. L. Voronov and I. V. Tyutin, *Yad. Fiz.* **39** (1984) 998.
- [3] J. Julve and M. Tonin, *Nuovo Cim. B* **46** (1978) 137;
E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **201** (1982) 469;
I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity* (IOP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia, 1992).
- [4] I. G. Avramidi and A. O. Barvinsky, *Phys. Lett. B* **159** (1985) 269.
- [5] E. Elizalde, C. O. Lousto, S. D. Odintsov and A. Romeo, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 2202 and the References therein.
- [6] M. Yu. Kalmykov and D. I. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett. B* **404** (1997) 253.
- [7] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **61** (1973) 455.
- [8] M. Yu. Kalmykov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** (1995) 1401.
- [9] L. F. Abbott, *Nucl. Phys. B* **185** (1981) 189.
- [10] I. G. Avramidi, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **44** (1986) 255.
- [11] K. S. Stelle, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **9** (1978) 353.
- [12] K. A. Kazakov and P. I. Pronin, hep-ph/9806023.